Statement showing the amount provided in the Budget for paying scholarships to the students in the Middle and High School Classes made in each year during the period.

Particulars of the Scholarships	1951-52 High Schools	1951-52 Middle Schools	1952-53 High Schools	1952-53 Middle Schools
	Number of Scholarships granted			
1. Backward Class Scholarships ...	2,066	1,968	2,066	1,968
2. Scholarships for girls ...	151	456	151	456
3. Depressed Class Scholarships ...	567	899	567	899
4. Malnad Scholarships ...	50	50	50	50
5. General Scholarships ...	400	233	400	233
6. Pallegar Scholarships ...	8	6	6	10
7. Muslim Endowment Scholarships ...	56	...	56	...
8. Merit Scholarships ...	18	...	18	...
9. Kagathi Appaji Reddy Agricultural Endowment Scholarships ...	....	12	...	12
10. Muslim Scholarships ...	100	50	100	50

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈಚೀಚೆಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೈ ಸ್ಕೂಲು ಮತ್ತು ಮಿಡ್ಲ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದೆಯಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is obvious.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಸ್ಕಾಲರ್‌ಷಿಪ್ಪುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದಲ್ಲವೆ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಅರ್ಧ ಫ್ರೀಷಿಪ್ಪುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಸ್ಕಾಲರ್‌ಷಿಪ್ಪುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸುವುದು ಧರ್ಮವಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದರೆ ಬಹಳ ಸಂತೋಷ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಆರ್. ಕರಿಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಹಣ ಒದಗಿಸಿ ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರರಾವ್.—ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ; ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Industrial Establishments with more than hundred Employees.

Q.—695. Sri B. MADHAVACHAR (Bhadravati).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of Industrial establishments in the State employing one hundred and more employees;

(*b*) in how many of these Industries Works Committees have been constituted ?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(*a*) 108.
(*b*) 15.

Sri B. MADHAVACHAR.—May I know the reason for the delay in constituting the Works Committees in the other industries ?

* Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—One of the main reasons seems to be that the Labour Associations are rather reluctant to co-operate.

Sri B. MADHAVACHAR.—Has the Labour Department received any periodical report regarding the achievement or otherwise of the Works Committees where they have been constituted and working ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—No. We have been trying to expedite the implementation of this and in the first instance we have sought to apply to the bigger factories over 300 workers.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that under the Industrial Disputes Act a provision has been made for

(SRI D. VENKATESH.)

including representatives of labour as well as employer in the Works Committee ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— Yes.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that both the management representation and labour representation have to be elected by the employer ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— Yes.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not an infringement of the fundamental rights of the workers to get their representatives elected by themselves ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— They would be right if they do so.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Has it not come to the notice of Government that because such representatives are elected by the employers, the Labour Associations are reluctant ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— That is not the only reason. There are several Labour Unions that do not agree to the personnel.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Do Government re-examine the rule ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— We will examine it.

Sri K. S. VASAN.—Is it not a statutory obligation on the part of the employer to constitute these Works Committees ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— Yes, it is one of the obligations.

Employees removed to defeat Section 41 of Mysore Shops and Establishments Act.

Q.—872. **Sri R. ANANTARAMAN** (Chamarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether instances are brought to their notice that employers have dispensed with the services of persons employed to defeat the object of Section 41 of the Mysore Shops and Establishments Act, 1948 ;

(*b*) whether the Government are contemplating to amend the above section ?

A.—**Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO** (Minister for Law and Education). —

(*a*) A few complaints have been received by the Inspectors and they are making the necessary enquiries.

(*b*) the matter is under the consideration of Government.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not a fact that such instances are in the case of hotel workers mostly ?

***Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.**— I cannot say mostly ; but there have been complaints.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN —Under the Madras Act is it not a fact that in such cases the employee should be reinstated or paid compensation, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— I do not remember the Madras Act just at present.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not a fact that the employers should pay compensation or reinstate the worker ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— Possibly.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Will it not cause great hardship to the emloyees if this choice is given to the employer ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— It may be a matter of advantage to the worker as well.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are you not aware that the employees are sent away even earlier than six months ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— In our Act there is a limit of six months. If that limit were to pass, then, he will have a right to apply.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are there not cases where workers are sent away even within that period ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— Possible ; there may be such cases.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it right to do so, Sir ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— In my opinion that six months' limit is natural and necessary.

Sri D. VENKATESH.—Is it not a fact that it was promised on the floor of this House that they would revise this in accordance with the Central Act ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.— We have examined that.